

Behavior Development for Anti-Submarine Warfare:

The GLINT09 and GLINT10 Field Trials

Stephanie Kemna, Michael J. Hamilton, David T. Hughes, Robert Been

Stephanie Kemna kemna@nurc.nato.int

Cambridge, 25 August 2010







1959: SACLANT

NATO maritime and transformational requirements

Seagoing research: Maritime innovation in NATO Nations

- Cooperative ASW
- Autonomous Naval Mine Countermeasures
- Ship and Port Protection
- Marine Mammal Risk Mitigation
- Maritime Situational Awareness
- Environmental Knowledge & Operational Effectiveness







Interoperability

GLINT: Generic Littoral Inter-operable Network Technology

Sensor networks Littoral Surveillance

Distributed processing Distributed intelligence

Behavior sets for autonomous multi-static platforms

Information transfer

Concepts of use

Advanced modeling Decision support

Command, control and integration



Multi-static Active Sonar and Data Fusion









How can an AUV monitor its environment purely with its own sensors, convert the obtained data into information, which can then be used to alter its trajectory to exhibit some form of ASW relevant behavior?

- real-time on-board processing
- \geq adaptive behaviors

 \succ heuristic approach: broadside behavior

BHV Design: Objective Function



- > ZAIC_PEAK function: calculate a new heading to get a contact at 90 degrees to the towed array: $n = h \pm b \mp a$
- domain: course, points: 360, pieces: 5





GLINT09: set-up broadside bhv









GLINT09: successful demonstration of real-time on-board signal processing + broadside behaviour







GLINT09

real-time on-board processing

contacts

highest SNR contact

heuristic: calculate 'broadside' heading

one heading \rightarrow ZAIC_PEAK

GLINT10

real-time on-board processing

tracks

track evaluator: score(contact SNR, track length)

information theoretic: full bi-static localization equations

all possible headings \rightarrow AOF





- create tracks: real-time on-board processing + NURC's DMHT tracker
- choose a track : score by signal-to-noise ratio associated contact and length of track,
- calculate the error in the localization of the chosen track, for all possible headings one step into the future,
- Iet IvP pick the heading that minimizes this error.



Coraluppi, S. (2006), Multistatic sonar localization, IEEE Journal of Oceanic Engineering 31(4), pp. 964-974



BHV Design: Objective Function



- AOF function: calculate and return the inverse of the trace of the localization error matrix for a chosen track
- \geq domain: course, points: 720, pieces: 720



Simulations: one AUV optimizing for a static target





grid: 500m

GLINT10: one AUV optimizing for a static target (echo repeater)



grid:

15

NURC

Simulations: one AUV optimizing for a moving target





16





Two AUVs





GLINT10: two AUVs optimizing individually for a moving target

NURC



grid:



GLINT10: 1 AUV optimizing collaboratively, 1 individually for a moving target



Michael J. Hamilton

Talk-09, August 24th 9.00 - 9.30 : Information theory based multi-vehicle collaboration for multi-static sonar using MOOS-IvP



Simulations: 1 AUV optimizing collaboratively, 1 individually for a moving target





GLINT10: 1 AUV optimizing collaboratively, 1 individually



NURC

GLINT10 logview: 1 AUV optimizing collaboratively, 1 individually









Kevin D. LePage

- Talk-30, August 25th, 13.30 14.00 : The Design of a MOOS-IvP Behavior Based on Maximizing the SNR of Autonomous Assets Operating within a Multistatic Sonar System: BHV_MaximizeSNR_Active
- BHV_MinimizeTL_Active_Depth: adapting AUV depth based on a model of transmission loss.





Information theory & game theory

- Collaborative & cooperative AUVs
- Optimal sensor placement
- Situational Awareness

